

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vingnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/994,657	11/28/2001	Sophie E. V. Martin	56297-5016-01	8313
33522	7590 08/25/2003			
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAMINER	
	/LVANIA AVENUE, N.W DN, DC 20004	<b>,</b>	TUNG, JOYCE	OYCE
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	13
		•	DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/994,657

Martin et al.

Examiner

Joyce Tung

Art Unit 1637



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
	for Reply					
	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	F TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM				
- Extens	sions of time may be eveileble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (e). In	n no event, however, may e reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing - If the p	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, e reply within t	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.				
- If NO p - Feilure	period for reply is specified ebove, the meximum stetutory period will epply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ceuse t	rend will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the epplication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133),				
<ul> <li>Any re</li> </ul>	eply received by the Office later then three months after the meiling date of a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	thie communication, even if timely filed, may reduce eny				
Status		·				
		2003				
2a) 💢		ction is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposif	tion of Claims	Casy, 1000 5.51 1.1, 100 5.51 2.50				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
_	Claim(s)					
	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u>					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the c					
11)□		is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some* c) None of:						
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	application from the International Bure	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.				
14) 🗌	14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Ċ	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachme	ent(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	-				
	ice of Meterences Cited (PTO-892) ice of Dreftsperson's Petent Drewing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
	ermation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				
77	del l' re restant	or Cotter.				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed 6/27/2003 has been entered. Following the entry of the amendment, claims 1-11 are pending.

- 1. The rejections of claims 12 under 35 U.S.C. §112 second paragraph and under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dower. (5,186,800) are withdrawn.
- 2. The rejection of claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph is withdrawn.

### Information Disclosure Statement

3.. The references, TR and UR, lined through were not considered because there are no translations for the references.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 09/994,657 Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dower. (5,186,800).

Dower disclosed the method of the present invention is used to collect intracellular substances released from cells (See column 5, lines 21-25). The method is effective with wide variety of prokaryotic cells including both gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial cells (See column 4, lines 9-57). Dower discussed how the electrical conductivity of the medium or solution and the cell density are affecting on the electrical field (See column 4, lines 58-68 to column 5, lines 1-20). Conveniently, a non-conductive medium, such as water or sucrose is used for suspending the cells (See column 5, lines 19-20). Dower also disclosed that interelectrode spacing is critical in that it determines the electric field strength to which sample is exposed. (See column 6, lines 50-59). Usually, the electrode space is below 2.5 mm, preferably being in the range from 1.0mm to 2.0 mm (See column 6, lines 47-50). Dower further discussed the reasons to choose a precise electric field strength based upon the cellular dimensions, for example, smaller size bacterial, the voltage 10-15kV/cm is applied and larger size bacterial, the voltage 5 to 10 kV/cm is applied (See column 7, lines 44-52). Moreover, Dower discussed the duration applied cross the electrodes to promote the permeability of the cell wall. The precise voltage and pulse duration selected is depend on the nature of prokaryotic cell being treated. The pulse duration is generally be in the range from 2-20 sec or longer being in range from 3 to 10

Application/Control Number: 09/994,657

Art Unit: 1637

sec (See column 8, lines 10-37). Finally, Dower indicates that the type of pulse waveform provided by the pulse generator is not critical (See column 7, lines 53-57).

Dower does not disclose applying the voltage not more than 50 volts including the range of the voltage between 0.5-50 volts, and the period for applying voltage is at least 30 seconds or 2 minutes continuously.

However, based upon the discussion of the factors which affects the permeability of the bacterial cells in the teachings of Dower it would have been prima facie obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to apply the electroporation of Dower to release intracellular material from bacterial cells with the optimization of the amount of volts, and the time needed for the pulse. The motivation to vary the voltage is to avoid the denaturation of intracellular material. The electric conductivity of the medium or suspension and the duration of the electrical field as discussed by Dower would have been taken into consideration by one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the instant invention to release intracellular material from cells by applying a continuous voltage of not more than 50 volts to a suspension. Thus it would have been prima facie obvious to apply a continuous voltage not more than 50 volts to release intracellular material from cells in a cellular suspension.

The response argues that Dower does not teach the limitations presented in the claims. However, the motivation to apply the continuous voltage not more than 50 volts including the range of the voltage between 0.5-50 volts and the period for applying the voltage, at least 30

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/994,657

Art Unit: 1637

seconds or 2 minutes continuously is set forth in the Office action mailed 3/27/2003 (See the

above paragraph). Thus, the rejection is maintained.

NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTIONS NECESSITATED BY THE AMENDMENT

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and

distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

7.

a. Claims 1-11 are vague and indefinite because of the phrase a "continuous" voltage. Since

any voltage with a given duration is always continuous it is unclear whether or not there is

special meaning for the term. Any amount of voltage used in releasing intracellular material

from cells must have a duration. Clarification is required.

Summary

8. No claims are allowable.

Art Unit: 1637

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joyce Tung whose telephone number is (703) 305-7112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM-4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached at (703) 308-1119 on Monday-Friday from 10:00 AM-6:00 PM.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical/Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Application/Control Number: 09/994,657

Art Unit: 1637

9. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 1637 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 using (703) 305-3014 or 308-4242. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Joyce Tung

丁.て

August 13, 2003

JEFFREY SIEW PRIMARY EXAMINER

8/20/03